



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/815,341

03/31/2004

Dennis R. Berman

TRV03-0001-1

8341

28422 7590  
HOYT A. FLEMING III  
P.O. BOX 140678  
BOISE, ID 83714.

11/02/2007

EXAMINER

LEE, BENJAMIN WILLIAM

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3714

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/02/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/815,341	BERMAN, DENNIS R.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Benjamin W. Lee	3714	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Benjamin W. Lee (Examiner). (3) Hoyt A. Fleming III (#41752).  
 (2) Cameron Saadat (Examiner). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 31 October 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: McElwrath, Ziv-el, Henson.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The similarity of the limitations of the proposed claims to the amended claims of related application 10/815,330 were discussed. The examiner agreed that the proposed claims would overcome the previous prior art rejections (McElwrath, Ziv-el, and Henson). The examiner also indicated that an updated search would be necessary to determine patentability. The filing of a terminal disclaimer and a new declaration was also discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
 Examiner's signature, if required